

**EATON COUNTY
PLANNING COMMISSION
December 7, 2021**

Call to Order: Brian Ross, Chair of the Eaton County Planning Commission called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Board of Commissioners Room, Eaton County Courthouse, 1045 Independence Blvd., Charlotte, MI.

Pledge: The Pledge of Allegiance was given by all.

Roll Call: Brian Ross, Barbara Rogers, Jim Mott, Tim Cattron, Bruce Porter, Ben Tirrell, Lisa Lawitzke, and Mike Hosey

Absent: Zachary Dillinger

Staff Present: Claudine Williams and Brandy Miller

Agenda Approval: A motion was made by **Commissioner Hosey** to approve the agenda as written for the December 7, 2021 meeting. **Commissioner Rogers** supported. Motion carried.

Minutes Approval: A motion was made by **Commissioner Mott** to approve the November 2, 2021 meeting minutes as printed. **Commissioner Tirrell** supported. Motion carried.

Public Comments: None

Public Hearings of Conditional Use Permit:

CU-12-21-10: Request by Daniel and Savilla Lambright for a Conditional Use Permit to operate a Home Business (plastic molding) per Section 14.13 of the Zoning Ordinance at 7068 W. Vermontville Highway, Section 23, Vermontville Township.

Staff Report: Ms. Williams read the staff report into record.

Applicant Statement: Mr. Daniel Lambright stated the staff report is correct. He stated he is not molding plastic, but welding it together; his product is good for basements or damp areas. **Commissioner Tirrell** asked Mr. Lambright what his process consists of, was it more assembly and not pouring. Mr. Lambright said yes, his product comes in sheets; he uses screws or welding to build his product. **Commissioner Tirrell** asked if everything was in solid material form. Mr. Lambright said yes.

Commissioner Ross invited any speaker in favor or opposition to the request to make public comment.

Speakers in favor: none

Speakers opposed: none

Public hearing closed: 7:08 p.m.

Commissioner Hosey moved to approve **CU-12-21-10** a request by **Daniel and Savilla Lambright** for a Conditional Use Permit to operate a Home Business (plastic molding) per

Section 14.13 of the Zoning Ordinance at 7068 W. Vermontville, Section 23, Vermontville Township, with the following conditions:

1. Requirements of all other local, state and federal agencies must be met, including but not limited to: Eaton County Road Commission, Barry-Eaton District Health Department, Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy, Eaton County Construction Code Department, Eaton County Central Dispatch, and Eaton County Drain Commissioner.
2. This Conditional Use Permit is granted to Daniel and Savilla Lambright for the above-described use only. It is not transferable per the Zoning Ordinance.

Commissioner Cattron supported. A roll call was taken with eight (8) voting aye and none (0) voting nay. Motion carried.

CU-12-21-11: Request by MMHP, L.L.C. for a Conditional Use Permit to operate an Agricultural Business (hemp processing) per Section 14.1 of the Zoning Ordinance at 6924 Peck Road, Section 18, Hamlin Township.

Staff Report: Ms. Williams read the staff report, Hamlin Township Resolution 2021-08 recommending denial of the application, Baldori & Associates response to Hamlin Township's Resolution, Michigan Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (MDARD) communication from Joseph Rivet submitted by Bob Baldori, Site Plan Review response from MDARD, email from Mr. William Fahey on behalf of Hamlin Township and the letter attached to the email, staff response to Hamlin Township Resolution 2021-08, and email from Mr. Timothy Perrone, into record (on file with CU-12-21-11).

Commissioner Hosey asked Ms. Williams if the five other processors have been approved. Ms. Williams stated there are six licensed growers in Eaton County.

Commissioner Mott stated Section 14.1.1 talks about supply for Eaton County commodities, but that was written for when farming was local. Ms. Williams stated there has been no change to the definition of an Agricultural Business in at least the past twenty years. **Commissioner Mott** asked if there is a better definition in the Master Plan.

Commissioner Rogers asked if the hemp would be grown in Eaton County. Ms. Williams stated according to the applicant the hemp would be purchased from growers in Eaton County. **Commissioner Rogers** asked if the current barn is the only one used for the business. Ms. Williams stated that is a good question for the applicant.

Applicant Statement: Mr. Kyle Barrows, owner of MMHP, L.L.C., stated the staff report covered what was needed. He stated they will obtain hemp from growers in Eaton County, but will also receive hemp from growers outside of the county because there are only three processors in the state.

Commissioner Tirrell asked Mr. Barrows about the water usage for the business. Mr. Barrows stated the water usage is limited to handwashing and toilets. **Commissioner Tirrell** asked Mr. Barrows to explain how the fiber is processed. Mr. Barrows stated the hemp is brought from the farm shucked, dried and ready to extract, similar to how grain would go to an elevator. The hemp goes into the centrifuge, which looks like a fancy washing machine; it is agitated with ethanol to extract the oil, which goes for further refinement. **Commissioner Tirrell** asked Mr.

Barrows what happens with the solid waste. Mr. Barrows stated the waste is used for animal feed, but could be sold or donated back to the farming communities. **Commissioner Tirrell** asked if there is an indoor area to store the waste. Mr. Barrows said yes, the building is also climate controlled.

Commissioner Mott asked Mr. Barrows how often the ethanol is changed out. Mr. Barrows stated they can save ninety-nine percent of the ethanol in each process; the ethanol is reused several times. He stated once it gets to the point it cannot be used, it is picked up from the company they purchased it from. **Commissioner Mott** asked Mr. Barrows if the two-thousand pounds of hemp proposed to be processed in a day is the maximum they would be able to process. Mr. Barrows said yes, that is their capacity. He stated they currently have one-hundred thousand pounds of hemp on site they grew themselves, that is waiting to be processed. Mr. Barrows stated as for processing for other farmers, they are not operational yet. He stated there is a hemp farm located on Columbia Road that is sixty-five acres; they were unable to process for the farm because they are not operational. He said that hemp was processed in Indiana.

Commissioner Hosey asked once processed what is made. Mr. Barrows stated they produce raw CBD, which is which is used to make cosmetics, lotions and other products.

Commissioner Tirrell asked Mr. Barrows if he is required to have an air quality permit from EGLE. Mr. Barrows said no, Mr. Fahey's letter is a lie; there are no smells or sounds that come from the process. He stated the letter states there have been complaints about smells and sounds, but he does not know where the complaints are coming from, many people have been to the site, there are no smells or sounds. Mr. Barrows stated anything can be written in a letter, but it must have facts to back it up.

Commissioner Ross invited any speaker in favor or opposition to the request to make public comment.

Speakers in favor: Mr. James Giddings, 6000 Louarbury Road, stated he is counsel for the petitioner and noted he spent several years as the city attorney for the City of Lansing. Mr. Giddings stated Hamlin Township, through their attorney put a narrow construct on the statute in page two of their letter; the word strictly is not included in the definition, and rather it reads dependent upon the agricultural community of the county. He stated the suggested standards Hamlin Township proposes to impose are not the same and are not present in other operations licensed and operating in Eaton County. Mr. Giddings stated if it were true, there would not be grain elevators, greenhouses, or nurseries as a majority of their products come from other places; if they had to depend specifically on the county they would not survive. He stated the Master Plan says the goals are to encourage through new and expanded markets for locally grown products, agricultural business and processes to add value to product generated in Eaton County. Mr. Giddings stated there will be more hemp producers in Eaton County, which would be in line with the Master Plan. He stated calling this use industrial is inappropriate and inaccurate.

Marc Santacci, 11789 Center Road, Traverse City, stated he owns a cherry farm and vineyard in Traverse City. Mr. Santacci said he grows hemp on two acres. He stated he brought his hemp to Eaton County to be processed as there is no place even close to have processes in Traverse City. He said it was not economical to transport so far and added people are going to grow hemp for the facility, near the facility. Mr. Santacci stated his hemp is still waiting to be processed and noted the old potato farm allows for temperature control to store it. He stated

there has been a lot of discussion about only allowing the processing of items grown in Eaton County; Traverse City Townships only want things to come from their township and a township in Minnesota had the same requirement. He said a Federal Court found this to be a violation of the commerce act to limit who a business could buy their product from. He stated the lawyer for Hamlin Township threw everything but the kitchen sink, but if the Planning Commission finds this to be an agricultural business, all of his points go away.

Speakers opposed: Mr. Vance Saunders, 6698 Peck Road, stated he lives within one-thousand feet of the facility; he is concerned about the excess traffic. Mr. Saunders stated Holmes Road is not a main road; it is seasonal and has road restrictions. He added in 2018 hemp was trucked in everyday for a month and when it was hanging and drying it did have an odor. Mr. Saunders stated he would like the facility somewhere else, not in his back yard.

Ms. Kathy Gleeson, 6464 Peck Road, stated she would like the Planning Commission to accept Hamlin Township's recommendation. She stated she has lived in her home since 1996, she and her husband commute hours a day to and from work to stay in a rural community. Ms. Gleeson stated Peck Road and Holmes Highway are not major highways; people walk, jog, and ride bikes on the road. She stated she likes the little traffic and lack of crime; Ms. Gleeson read a portion of Hamlin Township's Attorney's letter and staff comments. She stated she will focus on the difference between the potato farm; seven bright lights have been added to the building causing light pollution; given the time of year, it is dark when she goes to work and dark when she gets home, no one else has their property lit up the way they do. Ms. Gleeson stated it looks like a shopping lot and added why should Hamlin Township deal with crime because of the facility. She stated the applicants have admitted they did not apply for electrical permits; she is concerned they have a history of not following the laws. She also noted there are several other buildings on the property. Ms. Gleeson stated she looked Mr. Baldori up, he is a marijuana advocacy attorney, this makes the applicants intent clear. She stated the people of Hamlin Township have spoken clearly they do not want it.

Mr. Dan Gates, 4763 Freeman Road, stated he lives about one and a half miles north of the facility. He stated he does not believe this industrial processing facility should be allowed because it will cause noise and light pollution in this farming community. Mr. Gates stated industrial businesses should go near town; this is a farming community. He stated the applicants state they have not done anything to the buildings, but there are huge vents on the side of the building that were not there a few months ago.

Mr. Jeremy Whittum, Eaton County Commissioner for the district and Chairman of the Board of Commissioners, stated he has received twenty-one email communications regarding this property; Mr. Whittum read the names of those who gave him permission to do so. He stated he received one communication wanting to know why the plant is not open yet because they have crops to be processed. **Commissioner Hosey** asked Mr. Whittum if he has been to the site. Mr. Whittum said yes, he visited the site this afternoon and took a tour. He stated there is hemp located in the building approximately 3 feet high and 5 feet in diameter, the alcohol is in a two-hundred fifty to three-hundred gallon container, he said there is no odor but they are not processing. **Commissioner Hosey** asked Mr. Whittum if he has been to the facility at night. Mr. Whittum said no. **Commissioner Hosey** stated he asked due to the concern about the lighting.

Mr. Phil Bombrys, 6463 S. Clinton Trail, read a letter into record, he stated the township received a complaint in 2021 about the building and turned it over to the county. Mr. Bombrys gave a timeline of activities associated with the property. He noted the permits that were pulled

were residential, for a residential accessory building. He asked why Mr. Hayes is being used to pull permits, to avoid being asked about their intended use of the building. Mr. Bombrys stated he received a complaint about a delivery truck on the property in October, Community Development inspected, then the Conditional Use Permit was applied for. He stated this should be located near a highway or primary road; when hemp was brought to the property in the fall, there were fifteen vehicles on site. Mr. Bombrys stated all contractors that were hired came from outside of Hamlin Township and Eaton County, they didn't want to use local contractors so word would not get out on what they were doing. He stated this is spot zoning, the Planning Commission should deny the application. Mr. Bombrys stated they can try to call it agricultural, but it is industrial; under state law it is industrial hemp and needs to go in that district.

Mr. William Fahey, Hamlin Township Attorney, thanked Ms. Williams for reading his communications into record. He stated he would like to make two points. He stated what was heard from the applicant and staff is not correct, industrial hemp is an industrial commodity; to say it is agricultural is false. Mr. Fahey stated until 2014, industrial hemp was completely illegal, it is the same plant as marihuana. He stated in 2014 the federal farm bill created a new category for industrial hemp and started a pilot program on a limited basis for it. He stated those are the words the federal government uses, industrial hemp; it is not like corn, it is an industrial product the federal government says so. Mr. Fahey stated PA 547 was adopted by the State of Michigan, they followed the federal government by calling it industrial hemp; in 2018, the federal government adopted another farm bill, which also identifies it as an industrial product. He said there is a small shade of difference between hemp and marihuana. He stated Act 641 established the product known as industrial hemp, how to grow it and how to process it. He stated in 2020, PA 220 of 2020 was adopted, which staff states is says hemp is an agricultural commodity, but it does not say that in the act, it says industrial hemp, not an agricultural process. Mr. Fahey asked why we would put a facility to process an industrial product in an agricultural area. He stated the county made one concession regarding Section 14.1.1, they have to show it is directly dependent upon the agricultural community of the county to show it is necessary to support agricultural enterprise; there is no hemp grown in Eaton County. Mr. Fahey passed out the MDARD 2020 Industrial Hemp Report and USDA Farm Service Agency Crop Acreage Report to the Planning Commission, he stated the reports show there is no hemp grown in Eaton County. He stated an industrial processor in an agricultural district near people's houses has no basis and no benefit to farmers and does not qualify to be considered.

Mr. Kyle Barrows asked Commissioner Ross if he could speak again to address some items. **Commission Ross** said yes, but asked that he be brief.

Mr. Barrows stated Mr. Jeremy Whittum must have lied then when he said someone that grows hemp contacted him. He stated he lives in Hamlin Township, he is not an outsider, and he pays taxes here. Mr. Barrows stated he could go on and on about why it is called industrial hemp and why it was out lawed in the 1920's, but won't, he will say it had to do with paper.

Public hearing closed: 9:05 p.m.

Commissioner Tirrell stated we really have to look at the text of the Ordinance, it has been misrepresented; the ordinance does not say the product has to be grown here, outside of our county is still the community. He stated he finds the term industrial hemp ridiculous, the USDA and MDARD both say it is an agricultural product pretty clearly. He added per the Deputy Director of MDARD, this is an agricultural process. He stated it is clearly an agricultural product

and processing is a logical conclusion. **Commissioner Tirrell** stated there are some merits that should be addressed regarding the site plan.

Commissioner Ross stated he lives less than a mile from the property and grew up in the area as well. He stated he remembers the potato farm being there, they had all terrain tires and for weeks straight would go down the road; they were very loud. He said he does not see the traffic being any worse than the potato farm, they will be a lot less disruptive than the other trucks. **Commissioner Ross** stated the existing buildings located on the property are huge, they are not changing the footprint, they are utilizing what is there. He stated he agrees with Commissioner Tirrell, this is an agricultural use.

Commissioner Hosey stated the lighting on the property should be addressed; this is an agricultural area. **Commissioner Ross** said he has been by the property at night and does not recall it looking like a car parking lot. He added it is a large facility so a certain amount of light is not unrealistic. **Commissioner Hosey** stated large farms have large lights. **Commissioner Ross** stated he could not say anything jumped out at him. **Commissioner Tirrell** stated he would like to see the lights addressed on the site plan; he would also like to know the direction of the lights.

Commissioner Cattron stated he found a paragraph from Brookings Institute which states under the 2018 farm bill, hemp is treated like other agricultural commodities. He stated while there are provisions that heavily regulate hemp, and concerns exists among law enforcement this legislation makes hemp a mainstream crop. He stated several provision of the farm bill include changes to existing provisions of agricultural law to include hemp. **Commissioner Cattron** stated one of the most important provisions from the perspective of hemp farmer is in section 111001, which includes hemp farmers' protections under the Federal Crop Insurance Act. He stated hemp is an agricultural product. **Commissioner Tirrell** stated he believes our Ordinance is clear and we have written communication from MDARD, which states hemp processing is an agriculture process.

Commissioner Mott stated in the e-mail from Molly Mott, she refers to herself as the Industrial Hemp Program Specialist, then later states it is an agricultural processing facility. He stated there is a difference between hemp and the end product, the industrial part is the end product, it does not mean industrial like industrial park. He stated he travels around to several farm shows, everyone refers to hemp as agricultural, and he believes it is an agricultural product.

Commissioner Porter stated he could see both sides, on one side you have to have a place to process it, on the other side you do not have to have it if no one is growing it. He stated it would be different if we had farmer growing it. **Commissioner Porter** stated he is not convinced he knows what hemp is, he understands it is an agricultural product, he knows they are doing something with it to make it usable for something, but they are not growing in Eaton County. He stated the processing would be better where the farmers are growing it. **Commissioner Tirrell** asked Commissioner Mott if he grows soybeans. **Commissioner Mott** said yes. **Commissioner Tirrell** asked if the soybeans are food. **Commission Mott** said no. **Commissioner Tirrell** asked Commissioner Mott if any of his soybeans are crushed in Eaton County. **Commissioner Mott** said no. He stated the reason many people are not growing hemp is because there is not a processing facility. He stated they have the same problem with milk and pork processing plants.

Ms. Williams stated staff has an email from Molly Mott, which states there are six registered hemp growers in Eaton County for the 2021 cycle. The e-mail was passed around to the Planning Commission.

Commissioner Cattron stated the MDARD Industrial Hemp Report results were based on a survey and says not all farmers responded. **Commissioner Tirrell** stated he believes he has heard enough to know there are hemp farmers located in Eaton County and as such, it is directly dependent on these farms, the bar has been met.

Commissioner Rogers stated she wishes this was all brought out in the beginning instead of now. She said she thinks the Planning Commission needs to know more and has a lot of unanswered questions. She stated she visited the site and noted there are two land contracts on the property, the property is really owned by the Gruesbeck's. She added there are many unanswered questions; this fits their needs, but does it fit Hamlin Township and Eaton County. **Commissioner Rogers** stated she has questions and concerns.

Commissioner Tirrell stated he would like to address item number six of the Hamlin Township resolution, he asked if there is a check sheet for the site plan. Ms. Williams stated when an application is made, staff makes sure the items pertinent to the application and property are located on the site plan. She stated as an example, contours were not required because they do not pertain to the business; they are not constructing new buildings. **Commissioner Tirrell** stated he would like the lighting to be addressed on the site plan; it should be directional and downward. Ms. Williams stated the applicants submitted an updated site plan, which is attached to their response to Hamlin Township's resolution, the lighting is shown on the updated site plan. **Commissioner Ross** stated if a motion is made to approve the application, the lighting should be updated as directional and downward on the site plan. He also stated he would like to add a condition that all solid waste be stored inside the building. **Commissioner Tirrell** asked if there are other concerns that should be addressed. **Commissioner Ross** stated the Eaton County Road Commission responded with no concerns regarding the application.

Commissioner Hosey stated he is concerned with the hours of operation on Saturday; most home businesses close by noon. Mr. Barrows stated they are only open Monday through Friday. Ms. Williams stated the applicants are okay with being closed on Saturday.

Commissioner Rogers stated she spoke with the property owner Ms. Gruesbeck today who had concerns with finding out about the application in a round about way. She added a lot of money has been invested. **Commissioner Ross** stated he also spoke with the property owner; she was concerned the zoning of the property was changing which it is not; she said she was not concerned about the Conditional Use Permit. He added the owner said the improvements place more value on the property.

Commissioner Hosey asked if the Planning Commission is comfortable with the site plan. **Commissioner Tirrell** stated he is as long as the lighting is located on the site plan. **Commissioner Ross** asked if a condition should be placed not allowing any more lighting. **Commissioner Tirrell** said that is something the Planning Commission could consider. **Commissioner Mott** asked if there is a concern about the lighting, how it would be addressed. Ms. Miller explained how the lighting would be enforced.

Commissioner Tirrell asked **Commissioner Ross** if he could ask the applicant a question regarding the fans. **Commissioner Ross** said yes. **Commissioner Tirrell** asked Mr. Barrows

if the fans run at all times. Mr. Barrows stated the fans are hooked up to a sophisticated system, which has them running at ten percent of their operating speed. When product is being processed, the fans ramp up. He stated even when the fans are at one-hundred percent, they cannot be heard outside of the building. Mr. Barrows stated they can turn the fans down manually if needed and said they do not run when they are not processing or on weekends. He stated at one-hundred percent, the fans emit eight-five hundred cfm; they are not loud like a grain bin. Ms. Williams asked Mr. Barrows what type of filters are in place for odor. Mr. Barrows stated they have carbon filters; however, there is no odor. **Commissioner Mott** stated he was going to ask about the odor. Mr. Barrows stated a growing crop or drying crop has an odor, the first crop they received in 2018 was dried on site, therefore there was an odor. He stated they would not be drying any crops here, when the product is received, it will not have a sent, much like wheat.

Commissioner Tirrell stated the location and orientation of the fans should also be located on the site plan. **Commissioner Cattron** asked Commissioner Tirrell if he would like to table the application pending a new site plan or have staff review and approve the site plan. **Commissioner Tirrell** stated he likes to see the site plan, but is comfortable with staff reviewing and approving the updated site plan. Ms. Williams stated per her conversation with the applicant, the fans would be included in the sealed building drawings required by Eaton County Construction Code Department.

Commissioner Tirrell moved to approve **CU-12-21-11** a request by **MMHP, L.L.C.** for a Conditional Use Permit to operate an Agricultural Business (hemp processing) per Section 14.1 of the Zoning Ordinance at 6924 Peck Road, Section 18, Hamlin Township, with the following conditions:

1. An updated site plan shall be submitted to the Community Development Department for approval; the updated site plan shall show the location of all exterior lights and exhaust fans.
2. All exterior lighting shall be converted to downward directional lighting.
3. All solid waste shall be located inside a building.
4. Hours of operation are limited to Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
5. Requirements of all other local, state and federal agencies must be met, including but not limited to: Michigan Department of Agricultural and Rural Development, United States Department of Agriculture, Eaton County Road Commission, Barry-Eaton District Health Department, Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy, Eaton County Construction Code Department, Eaton County Central Dispatch, and Eaton County Drain Commissioner.
6. This Conditional Use Permit is granted to MMHP, L.L.C. for the above-described use only. It is not transferable per the Zoning Ordinance.

Commissioner Hosey supported.

Commissioner Ross stated the township noted concern of expansion; he asked if a condition should be placed requiring Planning Commission approval for any expansion. **Commissioner Tirrell** stated he does not believe it is necessary, as Section 9.3.9 of the Zoning Ordinance requires an application to be submitted for review by the Planning Commission for a Change of Conditions to a Conditional Use Permit.

A roll call was taken with six (6) voting aye and two (2) voting nay. Motion carried.

DCA-12-21-6: Request by Eaton County Planning Commission to amend the Eaton County Land Development Code (Zoning Ordinance). Amendments are proposed to the following: Article 5 Definitions (amend Sections 5.3.3 C and 5.3.18 R), Article 6 General Provision (amend Sections 6.2.10 C., 6.5, 6.5.1, and add 6.5.5), Article 7 Land Development Districts (amend Section 7.2.2 C, 7.3.2 F., 7.4A.2 B., 7.4B.2 C., 7.4C.2 D., 7.5A.4), and Article 14 Specific Provisions and Requirements (amend Section 14.10 Educational Institutional and Government Facility and 14.25 Surface Mining).

Staff Report: Ms. Williams read the staff report into record.

Commissioner Ross stated it is great to have the cleanup District Change Amendment Applications; they take care of issues or potential issues. He stated there was great conversation with the Zoning Ordinance Committee regarding all of the proposed items.

Commissioner Ross invited any speaker in favor or opposition to the request to make public comment.

Speakers in favor: none

Speakers opposed: none

Public hearing closed: 9:49 p.m.

Commissioner Hosey moved to approve DCA-12-21-6 Comprehensive Amendment to the Eaton County Land Development Code (Zoning Ordinance) to clarify the intent, update language and improve comprehension. Amendments are proposed to the following: Article 5 Definitions (amend Sections 5.3.3 C and 5.3.18 R), Article 6 General Provision (amend Sections 6.2.10 C., 6.5, 6.5.1, and add 6.5.5), Article 7 Land Development Districts (amend Section 7.2.2 C, 7.3.2 F., 7.4A.2 B., 7.4B.2 C., 7.4C.2 D., 7.5A.4), and Article 14 Specific Provisions and Requirements (amend Section 14.10 Educational Institutional and Government Facility and 14.25 Surface Mining).

Commissioner Rogers supported. A roll call was taken with eight (8) voting aye and none (0) voting nay. Motion carried.

Other Business: 2022 Planning Commission Meeting Schedule. **Commissioner Rogers** moved to approve the 2022 Planning Commission Meeting Schedule. **Commissioner Cattron** supported. Motion carried.

Reports: Ms. Williams stated the Zoning Ordinance Committee would meet on December 15th in the small conference room adjacent to the Board of Commissioner's Room.

Ms. Williams stated the Zoning Board of Appeals met this evening; they denied a variance application for a setback from a proposed pole barn to a private road.

Public Comment: **Commissioner Porter** thanked staff for their meeting preparation.

A motion was made by **Commissioner Hosey** to adjourn the meeting. **Commissioner Rogers** supported. Motion carried.

The meeting adjourned at 10:00 p.m.